BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

333 Commerce Street

Suite 2101

Nashville, TN 37201-3300

joelle phillips@bellsouthicom

'05 JAN 10 PM 2 12

January 10, 2005

TH REGULATORY ACTHORGY DOCKET ROOM

Joelle J Phillips

Attorney

615 214 6311 Fax 615 214 7406

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Hon. Pat Miller, Chairman Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN: 37238

Re:

BellSouth Tariff to Introduce Transit Traffic Service (No. 04-01259)

Docket No. 04-00380

Dear Chairman Miller:

Enclosed are the original and fourteen copies of BellSouth's Response to Interventions of Sprint, the CLECs, the Rural ICOs and Citizens Regarding BellSouth's Tariff.

Copies of the enclosed are being provided to counsel for the intervenors.

Cordially,

Joelle Phillips

JJP:ch

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
Nashville, Tennessee

In Re:

BellSouth Tariff to Introduce Transit Traffic Service (Np. 04-01259)

Docket No. 04-00380

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S RESPONSE TO INTERVENTIONS OF SPRINT, THE CLECS, THE RURAL ICO'S and CITIZENS REGARDING BELLSOUTH'S TARIFF

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") files this *Response* to the petitions of Sprint Communications Company, LP and Sprint Spectrum, LP (jointly "Sprint"), AT&T Communications of the South Central States, LLC, MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC, MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc., NuVox Communications, Inc. US LEC of Tennessee, Inc., XO Tennessee Inc., Xspedius Communications, LLC, and Southeastern Competitive Carriers Association (jointly "CLECs"), the Rural Coalition of Small Local Exchange Carriers and Cooperatives ("ICOs"), and Citizens Telecommunications Company of Tennessee, LLC d/b/a Frontier Communications of Tennessee ("Citizens") and respectfully shows the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("Authority" or "TRA") as follows:

BellSouth filed its tariff regarding transit service in order to address the situation in which a carrier initiates traffic bound for third-party carriers' end users using BellSouth's network to transit such traffic and when such parties fail to make contractual arrangements with BellSouth as the transit carrier. The tariff does not by its terms affect any party with an agreement governing transit traffic. Moreover, as a result of negotiations with some of the intervening parties, BellSouth has clarified its

intentions that the tariff have no effect on parties who have had transit agreements in the past and seek to negotiate new such agreements. BellSouth is optimistic that, through negotiations and agreed revisions to its tariff, if necessary, BellSouth can resolve the concerns raised by CLECs and Sprint regarding the impact of the tariff on renegotiation of existing transit terms in BellSouth's contracts. BellSouth is continuing to work with those parties to resolve these issues. Likewise, with respect to the petition filed on behalf of Citizens, BellSouth believes, through further discussions, these concerns may also be mutually resolved.

With respect the *Petition to Intervene* filed on behalf of the Rural ICOs, BellSouth respectfully submits that the coalition of ICOs has raised no legitimate issue of law or fact regarding BellSouth's tariff. BellSouth is clearly entitled to receive payment when its network is used to deliver the traffic of another carrier, and parties are not free to avoid payment of such services simply be refusing to negotiate contracts with BellSouth. This tariff addresses that situation. Most importantly, BellSouth's tariff ensures that parties have terms to govern transit traffic in the absence of such agreements. This certainty will promote the public policy of ensuring that calls are completed regardless of the carrier of the party initiating the call or the carrier used by the party being called.

To the extent that any of the ICOs are billed under the tariff in a manner that raises some specific issue, those parties are free to raise such concerns through the TRA's complaint process. At this time, however, the ICOs have raised no issue or even a specific allegation of harm meriting suspension of the tariff under the terms of T.C.A. § 65-5101(c)(3), which require a showing by a complaining party

- (a) of specific harm;
- (b) that the tariff violates a particular law; and
- that the party is likely to prevail on the merits of the complaint. The ICO's petition neither references this controlling statute nor satisfies its requirements for the suspension the ICOs seek.

The ICOs have cited no particular law that is arguably violated by this tariff. Moreover, the ICO have no legal right to free use of BellSouth's network for transit purposes. Consequently, the likelihood of success on the merit of their claim is not sufficient to suspend the tariff.

For the foregoing reasons, BellSouth respectfully urges the Authority to reject the Petition of the ICOs and to provide BellSouth with time to continue to negotiate with the other parties toward an agreed resolution to the concerns raised regarding the impact on existing or renegotiated contracts.

Respectfully submitted,

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

BA:

Joelle J. Phillips

333 Commerce Street, Suite 2101

Nashville, TN 37201-3300

615/214-6301

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 10, 2005, a copy of the foregoing document was

served on the following, via the method indicated: [] Hand Ed Phillips, Esq. [] Mail United Telephone - Southeast [] Facsimile 14111 Capitol Blvd. [] Overnight Wake Forest, NC 27587 **K** Electronic Edward.phillips@sprint.mail.us [] Hand Henry Walker, Esquire [] Mail Boult, Cummings, et al. 414 Union Street, #1600 [] Facsimile [] Overnight Nashville, TN 37219-8062 Electronic hwalker@boultcummings.com [] Hand Bill Ramsey, Esquire [] Mail

Neal & Harwell, PLC
150 Fourth Avenue North, #2000
Nashville, Tennessee 37219-2498
ramseywt@nealharwell.com

Guilford Thornton, Esquire
Stokes & Bartholomew
424 Church Street, #2800
Nashville, TN 37219
gthornton@stokesbartholomew.com

Milane

[] Facsimile

[] Overnight

[] Facsimile

[] Overnight

Electronic

[] Hand

[] Mail